COUNTABLE CONNECTED SPACES

GARY GLENN MILLER

ABSTRACT. Two pathological countable topological spaces are constructed. Each is quasimetrizable and has a simple explicit quasimetric. One is a locally connected Hausdorff space and is an extension of the rationals. The other is a connected space which becomes totally disconnected upon the removal of a single point. This space satisfies the Urysohn separation property—a property between T_2 and T_3 —and is an extension of the space of rational points in the plane. Both are one dimensional in the Menger-Urysohn [inductive] sense and infinite dimensional in the Lebesgue [covering]

1. Introduction. The first example of a countable connected Hausdorff space was given by Urysohn [18]. Other examples have been given by Hewitt [7], Bing [1], Brown [2], Golomb [6], Martin [10], Roy [15], Kirch [8], Stone [17], and Miller and Pearson [13].

A connected space X has a dispersion point x provided X-x is totally disconnected. X is a Urysohn space if for all distinct p and q in X there are neighborhoods U and V of p and q respectively such that U and V have disjoint closures.

The first example of a space having a dispersion point was given by Knaster and Kuratowski [9]. Such spaces have been investigated by Erdös [3] and Wilder [19]. Roy [15] has given an example of a countable connected Urysohn space having a dispersion point. Kirch [8] has given an example of a locally connected countable connected Hausdorff space. Stone [17] has also announced the construction of such an example. Recently Franklin and Krishnarao [5] have announced an interesting application of such an example due to F. B. Jones (unpublished).

The examples presented here are obtained by extending a metric space by adjoining countably many limit points and extending the distance function to a quasimetric. A real valued function D(x, y) is a quasimetric for a topological space provided that for points x, y, z of the space:

- 1. $D(x, y) \ge 0$, the equality holding iff x = y.
- 2. $D(x, y) + D(y, z) \ge D(x, z)$.

Presented in part to the Society, September 1, 1969 under the title A countable Urysohn space with an explosion point; received by the editors November 3, 1969.

AMS 1968 subject classifications. Primary 5401, 5440, 5455; Secondary 5423, 5435.

Key words and phrases. Countable connected Hausdorff space, dispersion point, locally connected space, Urysohn space, quasimetric, Menger-Urysohn dimension, Lebesgue dimension, completion of the rationals.

3. The collection of ϵ -balls, $B(x, \epsilon) = \{y : D(x, y) < \epsilon\}$, is a base for the topology of the space.

Therefore a symmetric quasimetric is a metric. There are just two essentially different ways of writing the triangle inequality (2); one implies symmetry—D((x, y) = D(y, x)—the other, as we have written it, does not.

The following characterization, originally due to Ribeiro [16], indicates the severity of the existence of a quasimetric. A T_1 space X is quasimetrizable iff each point p has a base for its neighborhood system $X = G_1(p)$, $G_2(p)$, \cdots such that for any points x and y, $x \in G_{n+1}(y)$ implies $G_{n+1}(x) \subseteq G_n(y)$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Relations between Moore, metric and quasimetric spaces have been investigated by Stoltenberg [16]: related work may also be found in [4] and [14].

The following examples indicate that a quasimetric space can be quite pathological even though its distance function is the Euclidean metric when restricted to a dense open subspace.

2. A countable locally connected quasimetric extension of the rationals. This connected Hausdorff space has differing inductive and covering dimensions and is not a Urysohn space.

Construction. Let Q be the set of rational points on the x-axis, and M the set of points above the x-axis with both coordinates rational. Let m be a point of M. Define $F(m) = \{r, s\}$ where r and s are the points of the x-axis which together with m are the vertices of an equilateral triangle. Note that F(m) does not intersect $S = Q \cup M$. Let x and y be points of S. If x is a point of Q and y a point of M, define D(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(x, y) and D(y, x) = d(x, x) where x is the point of x closest to x and x is the usual metric for the plane. Assume x and x are distinct points of x. Note that x and x are disjoint. Let x and x be points of x and x and x are points of x and x and x are points of x and x and x are points of x and x ar

LEMMA 1. Each ϵ -ball is connected.

PROOF. Suppose to the contrary for some p in S and positive ϵ there are nonempty disjoint open sets U and V such that $U \cup V = B(p, \epsilon)$. Assume p is a point of Q. Then $B(p, \epsilon)$ contains the interval of rationals $(p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \cap Q$. There are open intervals G and H on the x-axis, contained in U and V respectively, such that the usual

distance from G to H is less than $\epsilon/3$. $B(p, \epsilon)$ contains all points of M with second coordinates less than $\epsilon/3$ which lie in an equilateral triangle which has base $(p-\epsilon, p+\epsilon)$. Thus there is a point q in $M \cap B(p, \epsilon)$ such that F(q) intersects both G and H. Thus q is a limit point of both U and V, a contradiction.

Therefore p is in M. In this case, $B(p, \epsilon)$ consists of p together with two sets each like the ϵ -ball in the above. By a similar argument, each of the two sets is connected. Furthermore p is a limit point of the two sets. This involves a contradiction.

COROLLARY. S is a countable connected Hausdorff space which is locally connected, quasimetric and contains Q as a dense subspace.

3. A countable connected quasimetric extension of $Q \times Q$ having a dispersion point. This space is Urysohn and also has differing dimensions.

Construction. Let $X = (Q \times Q) \cup Z \cup \{\omega\}$ where Q denotes the rationals, Z the integers and $\omega = (\pi, \sqrt{2})$. X endowed with the topology described below is the desired example. Let F be a function from Z into the plane such that (1) for each z in Z, F(z) is not in X and the only image of F on the line $\omega F(z)$ is F(z), and (2) F[Z] is dense in the plane. For each z in Z, let G(z) be the midpoint of the line segment $\omega F(z)$. A quasimetric D for X is defined next in terms of the usual metric d for the plane. First let d^* be the usual bounded metric for the plane, $d^* = d/1 + d$. Let x and y be points of X, let a and b be points of X - Z and let z be a point of Z. Define D as follows.

- 1. $D(a, b) = d^*(a, b)$.
- 2. $D(z, a) = \min [d^*(a, F(z)), d^*(a, G(z))].$
- 3. D(x, y) = 1 for $x \neq y$.
- 4. D(x, x) = 0.

The triangle inequality and positive definite property follow immediately. Let X have the topology induced by the quasimetric D.

Notice if ϵ is a positive number less than one, an ϵ -ball with center a point of $M = (Q \times Q) \cup \{\omega\}$ is just the common part of M and an open disc with center the point. An ϵ -ball with center a point z of Z is just the union of two such rational discs with centers F(z) and G(z) together with z. Thus $Q \times Q$ is dense in X, Z is closed in X, and each have their usual topologies as subspaces of X.

LEMMA 2. X is connected.

PROOF. Suppose X is the union of two nonempty disjoint open sets U and V such that U contains ω . Since each point of Z is a limit point of $Q \times Q$, there is a point x_0 in $Q \times Q \cap V$. For each point x in the

plane, let $S(x, \epsilon)$ denote the ϵ -disc with center x relative to the usual metric d. There exist a point z_1 of Z and a positive ϵ_1 such that $S(G(z_1), \epsilon_1) \cap Q \times Q$ lies in V and $\epsilon_1 < t/2$ where $t = d(\omega, F(z_1))$. Let x_1 be a common point of $S(G(z_1), t_1)$ and $Q \times Q$. There exist a point z_2 of Z and a positive $\epsilon_2 < t/2^2$ such that $F(z_2)$ is in $S(G(z_1), \epsilon_1)$ and $S(G(z_2), \epsilon_2) \cap Q \times Q$ lies in V. Let x_2 be a common point of $S(G(z_2), \epsilon_2)$ and $Q \times Q$. Continue this process. It is easily seen that for each natural number n, $d(\omega, x_n) < [n+1]t/2^n$. Therefore x_1, x_2, \cdots converges to ω in the plane. Therefore ω is a limit point of V in X, which is a contradiction.

LEMMA 3. If x and y are points of $X - \{\omega\}$, then $X - \{\omega\}$ is the union of disjoint open sets U and V containing x and y respectively.

PROOF. Since $\omega = (\sqrt{2}, \pi)$, and π is transcendental, a line through ω containing a point of $Q \times Q$ cannot have rational slope. Therefore each line through ω contains at most one point of $Q \times Q$. Let L be a line through ω such that L does not intersect $(Q \times Q) \cup F[Z]$ and (1) L separates x and y if both are in $Q \times Q$, (2) L separates F(x) and F(y) if x and y are in Z, and (3) L separates F(x) and Y is in Z and Y is in $Q \times Q$. Let M_1 be the set of all points of $Q \times Q$ on one side of L and M_2 the set of all such points on the other. Let N_i be the set of all z in Z such that F(z) is on the M_i side of L for i=1, 2. Let $U = M_1 \cup N_1$ and $V = M_2 \cup N_2$. Then U and V are disjoint and open in $X - \omega$, $X - \omega = U \cup V$, and x is in one of U and V and Y is in the other.

LEMMA 4. X is a Urysohn space.

PROOF. Suppose x is a point of X-Z. Let U and V be the intersections of X-Z with open discs in the plane having centers x and ω and each having radius $\epsilon = d(\omega, x)/4$. Clearly, no point of X-Z is a limit point in X of both U and V. Suppose some point z in Z is a limit point of U. Then $d(x, F(z)) \le \epsilon$ or $d(x, G(z)) \le \epsilon$. In either case $d(\omega, F(z)) > \epsilon$ and $d(\omega, G(z)) > \epsilon$. Thus z is not a limit point of V. Therefore $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$.

Now suppose x is a point of Z. Let U_1 , U_2 and V be the intersections of X-Z with open discs in the plane having centers F(x), G(x) and ω respectively and each having radius $d(G(x), \omega)/4$. From the first part of the proof, $\overline{U}_1 \cap \overline{V} = \overline{U}_2 \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$. Let $U = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \{x\}$. Then $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = (\overline{U}_1 \cap \overline{V}) \cup (\overline{U}_2 \cap \overline{V}) \cup (\{x\} \cap \overline{V}) = \emptyset$.

Finally, suppose x and y are points of $X-\omega$. From Lemma 3, there are disjoint open sets U_1 and V containing x and y respectively such that $X-\omega=U_1\cup V$. There are disjoint open sets U_2 and V_2 containing x and ω respectively. Let $U=U_1\cap U_2$. Then $\overline{U}\cap \overline{V}=\emptyset$.

COROLLARY. X is a countable connected quasimetric Urysohn space which has a dispersion point and contains $Q \times Q$ as a dense subspace.

4. Dimension.

LEMMA 5. S and X are each one dimensional in the Menger-Urysohn sense

PROOF. In each space, each point has arbitrarily small ϵ -neighborhoods with boundaries consisting of isolated points in the relative topology.

LEMMA 6. S and X are each infinite dimensional in the Lebesgue sense.

PROOF. Let P be the set of all points in S with second coordinate greater than 1. Then P is closed and homeomorphic to Z. Let n be a positive integer. Then P can be partitioned into subsets P_1, \dots, P_n such that for each relatively open set U in Q, P_i contains a limit point of U for $i=1, \dots, n$. Let $U_i=(S-P)\cup P_i$ for $i=1, \dots, n$. Then U_1, \dots, U_n is an open cover of S. Suppose G is an open cover of G which refines this cover. Let G_1 be a member of G which lies in G be a limit point of G is a nonempty open set since G is open and dense. Let G be a limit point of G lies in G be a member of G which contains G be a limit point of G lies in G be a limit point of G be a limit point of G lies in G be a limit point G in G lies in G be a limit point G be a limit point of G be a limit point of

The preceding argument when modified by replacing P by Z, Q by $Q \times Q$ and S by X establishes the desired result for X.

The author wishes to thank B. J. Pearson for helpful suggestions regarding the presentation of results in this note.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. H. Bing, A connected countable Hausdorff space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 474. MR 15, 729.
- 2. M. Brown, A countable connected Hausdorff space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1953), 367. Abstract #423.
- 3. P. Erdös, Some remarks on connected sets, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1944), 442-446. MR 6, 43.
- 4. P. Fletcher, H. Hoyle and C. Patty, The comparison of topologies, Duke Math. J. 36 (1969), 325-331. MR 39 #3441.
- 5. S. P. Franklin and G. V. Krishnarao, A topological characterization of the real line, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1969), 694. Abstract #69T-G78.

- 6. S. W. Golomb, A connected topology for the integers, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), 663-665, MR 21 #6347.
- 7. E. Hewitt, On two problems of Urysohn, Ann. of Math. (2) 47 (1946), 503-509. MR 8, 165.
- 8. A. M. Kirch, A countable, connected, locally connected Hausdorff space, Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969), 169-171, MR 39 #920.
- 9. B. Knaster and C. Kuratowski, Sur les ensembles connexes, Fund. Math. 2 (1921), 206-255.
- 10. J. Martin, A countable Hausdorff space with a dispersion point, Duke Math. J. 33 (1966), 165-167. MR 33 #699.
- 11. G. Miller, A countable Urysohn space with an explosion point, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1966), 589. Abstract #636-48.
- 12. ——, A countable locally connected quasimetric space, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 14(1967), 720. Abstract #67T-541.
- 13. G. Miller and B. J. Pearson, On the connectification of a space by a countable point set. J. Austral. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- 14. L. J. Norman, A sufficient condition for quasimetrizability of a topological space, Portugal. Math. 26 (1967), 207-211.
- 15. P. Roy, A countable connected Urysohn space with a dispersion point, Duke Math. I. 33 (1966), 331-333. MR 33 #4887.
- R. A. Stoltenberg, On quasi-metric spaces, Duke Math. J. 36 (1969), 65-71.
 MR 38 #3824.
- 17. A. H. Stone, A countable, connected, locally connected Hausdorff space, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1969), 422. Abstract #69T-D10.
- 18. P. Urysohn, Über die Mächtigkeit der Zusammenhängen Mengen, Math. Ann. 94 (1925), 262-295.
- 19. R. L. Wilder, A point set which has no true quasi-component, and which becomes connected upon the addition of a single point, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1927), 423-427.

University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada